

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,282	08/04/2003	Michael Knoop	10191/3123	7117
26646	7590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			SOTOMAYOR, JOHN B	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3662 DATE MAN ED: 10/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fl
----

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/634,282	KNOOP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John B. Sotomayor	3662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)  accepted or b)  objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09FEB04</u> .	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/634,282

Art Unit: 3662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings filed August 4, 2003 are objected to since all boxes should have descriptive legends. Therefore Figure 6 should be labeled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-6, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and/or (e) as being anticipated by Kikuchi et al ('407) or Fujita et al ('538).

With respect to the independent claims 1 and 11, these claims are considered to be clearly met by either Kikuchi et al ('407) or Fujita et al ('538) who disclose a method and system for determining a collision condition between a vehicle and an object including, inter alia, specifically using longitudinal and lateral acceleration of the vehicle in making the determination of pending collision. Kikuchi et al ('407) or Fujita et al ('538) disclose determining a predicted path of targets and measuring acceleration and lateral velocities as well as longitudinal and lateral target position state vectors (see column 2, line 53 to column 3, line 11). With respect to the remaining claims 2-6, 9 and 12, Kikuchi et al ('407) or Fujita et al ('538) clearly show well-known collision avoidance techniques such as applying brakes, warning the vehicle driver, etc.

5. Claims 1-6, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kikuchi et al ('407) or Fujita et al ('538).

With respect to the independent claims 1 and 11, these claims are considered to be clearly met by either Kikuchi et al ('407) or Fujita et al ('538) who disclose a method and system for determining a collision condition between a vehicle and an object including, inter alia, specifically using longitudinal and lateral acceleration of the vehicle in making the determination of pending collision. Kikuchi et al ('407) disclose determining a collision condition when lateral and longitude acceleration exceed a preset value (see column 5, lines 21-44). Kikuchi et al ('407) disclose determining a collision condition when lateral and longitude acceleration exceed a preset value (see column 5, lines 5-27). With respect to the remaining claims 2-6, 9 and 12, Kikuchi et al

Application/Control Number: 10/634,282

Art Unit: 3662

00/10/11d/11b0/: 10/00/1,20

('407) or Fujita et al ('538) clearly show well-known collision avoidance techniques such as applying brakes, warning the vehicle driver, etc.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art show various radar systems.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Sotomayor whose telephone number is 703-306-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom H. Tarcza, can be reached on 703-306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,282

Art Unit: 3662

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John B. Sotomayor Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 3662